Gallagher

Why Specialized Risk Demands a Specialized Broker

Choosing the right insurance advisor for your financial institution

Written by Eileen Yuen



At a glance

Financial institutions often discover weaknesses in their insurance programs only after a regulatory investigation, cyber incident, lending dispute, customer allegation, social engineering loss or transaction triggers multiple policies. By then, insurers may have established their coverage positions, notice deadlines may be running and the institution's negotiating leverage may be limited.

An important decision is therefore not simply which policies to purchase. It is whether your broker understands your business, recognizes how your exposures intersect and can coordinate your coverage before an event puts the program to the test.

A financial institutions specialist can bring together industry knowledge, insurance-market experience, program design and claims advocacy to help protect the organization, its leadership and its stakeholders.

The risk is not contained within individual policies

Financial institutions operate in one of the most highly regulated, technologically dependent and rapidly evolving risk environments in the economy. Their exposures arise from financial services, technology, regulatory oversight, employees, customers, counterparties, fiduciary responsibilities and third-party relationships.

Those exposures do not operate independently and claims rarely follow the clean lines separating individual insurance policies.

Consider several potential scenarios:

- A business email compromise could raise coverage questions under both Cyber Liability and the Financial Institution Bond.
- A data breach followed by a regulatory inquiry and shareholder allegations could implicate Cyber Liability and Directors & Officers Liability.
- A lending or servicing dispute could involve Professional Liability, D&O and, depending on the allegations, other coverages.
- An employee benefit plan investigation could create both Fiduciary Liability and D&O considerations.
- An acquisition could affect insured entity definitions, continuity dates, runoff protection and the treatment of newly acquired operations across several policies.

A program can contain strong individual policies and still produce uncertainty if those policies were not designed and negotiated as a coordinated whole.

That is where specialization matters.

Nine questions a financial institution should ask its broker

Before selecting or renewing with a broker, consider asking:

1. How many organizations similar to ours does your team advise, and who will actually serve our account?
2. How will you evaluate the interaction among our D&O, Professional Liability, Cyber, Bond, Fiduciary and EPL policies?
3. What coverage issues are most commonly overlooked for an institution with our business model?
4. How will you confirm that policy definitions reflect our products, services, subsidiaries, funds, customers and affiliated organizations?
5. Which insurers have relevant underwriting and claims experience, and how do you evaluate their long-term commitment to the financial institutions market?
6. How will you benchmark our limits, retentions, pricing and coverage terms against comparable institutions?
7. What resources will be available if a claim implicates multiple policies or insurers?
8. How will you support acquisitions, new products, capital raising activity and geographic expansion?
9. Who is ultimately accountable for delivering the strategy presented during the broker selection process?

The answers should demonstrate more than access to insurance markets. They should show an ability to understand the institution, challenge the existing program, negotiate meaningful improvements and remain accountable when a claim occurs.



Common issues a coordinated program review should address

A specialized review should look beyond premiums, limits and policy names. It should examine whether the program is likely to respond coherently when an event crosses coverage lines.

Important review areas to consider include:

Inconsistent dates and continuity provisions

Prior acts dates, continuity dates, prior and pending litigation dates and knowledge provisions may differ among policies. These differences can become significant when a claim develops over time or allegations relate to conduct spanning multiple policy periods.

Definitions that do not reflect current operations

Definitions of professional services, insured persons, customers, subsidiaries, funds, joint ventures and wrongful acts should reflect what the institution actually does today. New products, acquisitions, digital initiatives and organizational changes can outpace older policy language.

Gaps between cyber, bond and professional liability

Cyber incidents, funds transfer events, social engineering losses and customer claims may implicate several policies. Exclusions, sublimits and competing policy provisions should be evaluated together rather than one policy at a time.

Limitations affecting directors and officers

A D&O program should be reviewed for the protection it provides when the organization cannot indemnify its directors and officers, when limits are shared among multiple insureds or coverage sections, or when a significant matter threatens to exhaust available insurance.

Conduct and personal profit exclusions

The language determining when conduct exclusions apply can materially affect defense-cost protection. The program should be evaluated for appropriate final-adjudication standards, severability and protection for individuals who were not involved in the alleged conduct.

Insured-versus-insured and related-claim provisions

Broad exclusions or expansive related-claim language can create unintended results in disputes involving former executives, bankruptcy-related parties, whistleblowers, receivers, trustees or recurring allegations.

M&A and organizational change

Acquisitions and divestitures can create questions regarding automatic coverage, notice requirements, runoff protection, prior acts and the treatment of newly acquired entities. These issues should be addressed as part of transaction planning, not after closing.

Defense and claims-handling provisions

Duty-to-defend language, consent requirements, panel counsel restrictions, allocation provisions, retention structures and defense-cost treatment can shape how a claim is managed. These provisions should be understood before counsel is selected and costs begin to accumulate.

A review of these issues helps determine whether the policies merely coexist or operate as a deliberate risk-financing program.

Coordinating interconnected coverages

A financial institution's program may include:

- Directors & Officers Liability
- Professional Liability or Errors & Omissions
- Cyber Liability
- Financial Institution Bond
- Fiduciary Liability
- Employment Practices Liability
- Representations & Warranties Insurance
- Property & Casualty Insurance
- Other specialty coverages based on the institution's activities

Effective coordination requires more than purchasing each policy from a reputable insurer. Key definitions, exclusions, notice provisions, continuity terms and allocation mechanisms should be reviewed across the program to identify:

- Uninsured gaps
- Conflicting policy provisions
- Unintended overlaps
- Restrictive definitions
- Inconsistent reporting requirements
- Disputes over which policy should respond first
- Coverage provisions that no longer reflect the institution's operations

The objective is not to eliminate every possible area of uncertainty. It is to identify foreseeable points of friction and negotiate the clearest and most appropriate result before a loss occurs.



Selecting insurers that will stand behind the program

The lowest-priced insurer is not always the strongest long-term partner.

Not every insurer has the underwriting expertise, policy language, financial strength or claims experience appropriate for a complex financial institution. A specialist broker should evaluate the substance behind the quote, including:

- Relevant underwriting expertise: Does the insurer understand the institution's business model, products and regulatory environment?
- Policy-language quality: Does the proposed form appropriately address the institution's activities and entities?
- Claims capability: Does the insurer have claims professionals and coverage counsel familiar with financial institution matters?
- Financial strength: Does the insurer have the demonstrated ability to meet its obligations?
- Market commitment: Has the insurer shown discipline and continuity rather than entering and exiting the class unpredictably?
- Early claims engagement: Will the insurer engage constructively when coverage questions arise?
- Global reach with local execution: Can the insurer support jurisdiction-specific requirements when an institution operates across borders?

A broker should also create meaningful competition among qualified insurers without sacrificing coverage quality or program stability simply to produce a lower headline premium.

The goal is sustainable protection from insurers that understand the risk, supported by a broker who remains accountable for the outcome.



The renewal should be a strategy, not an annual transaction

A strong renewal begins before insurers issue terms.

The process should include:

- Reviewing changes in services, products and geographic reach
- Identifying acquisitions, divestitures and newly created entities
- Evaluating developing regulatory, litigation, cyber and operational exposures
- Revisiting limits, retentions and program structure
- Reviewing claim developments and lessons from prior matters
- Preparing senior management for underwriting discussions
- Developing a clear and differentiated underwriting narrative
- Benchmarking key program elements against relevant peers
- Establishing negotiation priorities before approaching the market

An effective underwriting narrative helps insurers understand not only the institution's risk, but also the quality of its governance, controls, leadership and risk management practices.

Preparation can reduce last-minute surprises, improve market engagement and create greater leverage when negotiating coverage and pricing.

Claims advocacy from notice through resolution

An insurance policy delivers its greatest value when a claim occurs.

A complex financial institution matter may involve several allegations, policies, insurers, law firms and regulatory authorities at the same time. Early decisions involving notice, counsel, coverage strategy and communication can affect the handling of the claim.

A specialist broker should help:

- Identify all policies that may potentially respond
- Coordinate notice across applicable policy years and coverage lines
- Review insurer acknowledgments, reservations of rights and coverage positions
- Address allocation among covered and potentially uncovered matters
- Facilitate communication among the institution, insurers and counsel
- Navigate consent, defense and panel counsel requirements
- Monitor defense costs in relation to retentions, sublimits and aggregate limits
- Advocate for timely and appropriate handling
- Escalate disputed issues when necessary
- Apply lessons from the claim to future program design

Claims advocacy should not begin after an insurer issues an adverse coverage position. It should begin when the institution first becomes aware of circumstances that may lead to a claim.

What a financial institutions specialist should deliver

A specialized broker should provide more than placement execution. The relationship should include:

- A detailed understanding of the institution's operations and strategic direction
- Coordinated analysis across all major coverage lines
- Clear identification of gaps, conflicts and negotiation priorities
- Benchmarking against appropriately comparable organizations
- Access to insurers with relevant underwriting and claims capabilities
- Support for transactions, new products and geographic expansion
- Timely insight on insurance market and risk developments
- Executive- and board-level communication when appropriate
- Dedicated claims advocacy
- Accountability for the strategy presented and the service delivered

The result should be an insurance program designed around the institution rather than an institution forced to fit within a collection of standard policies.

A specialist approach for a complex risk environment

Insurance is not merely an annual purchase or a regulatory requirement. It is a component of the institution's broader risk management, governance and capital-protection strategy.

The right broker understands where the institution's exposures originate, how its policies interact and where insurers may take competing or restrictive positions.

The broker also understands which coverage issues should be addressed during renewal, when meaningful negotiation remains possible.

That level of advice requires specialization, preparation, market knowledge and continuing engagement.

Next step: Put your program to the test before a claim does

Many insurance programs develop over time through separate renewals, acquisitions, new products and changes in insurer appetite. Even strong programs can contain provisions that no longer align with the institution's current operations or that create uncertainty when multiple policies are implicated.

Our Financial Institutions specialists can provide a coordinated review focused on:

- How your principal policies interact
- Whether key definitions reflect your current operations
- Where exclusions, sublimits or notice provisions may create uncertainty
- How your limits, retentions and program structure compare with relevant peers
- Whether the program appropriately addresses acquisitions, new products and emerging exposures
- How the claims process is likely to work when more than one policy may respond

Contact us to arrange a financial institutions insurance program review and identify practical opportunities to strengthen coverage, improve coordination and better protect your organization, leadership and stakeholders.

One focused conversation can help determine whether your current program is simply renewed each year or intentionally designed to protect the institution when the consequences are greatest.





AJG.com **The Gallagher Way.** Since 1927.

Gallagher

The information contained herein is offered as insurance Industry guidance and provided as an overview of current market risks and available coverages and is intended for discussion purposes only. This publication is not intended to offer financial, tax, legal or client-specific insurance or risk management advice. General insurance descriptions contained herein do not include complete Insurance policy definitions, terms, and/or conditions, and should not be relied on for coverage interpretation. Actual insurance policies must always be consulted for full coverage details and analysis.

Insurance brokerage and related services provided by Arthur J. Gallagher Risk Management Services, LLC License Nos. IL 100292093 / CA 0D69293

© 2026 Arthur J. Gallagher & Co., and affiliates & subsidiaries | PRODUS203747